

REMARKS

Claims 1 through 26 and 50 are pending and Claims 27 through 49 are canceled. Claims 1, 23 and 50 are amended. Support for this amendment can be found in the application in paragraph [0033].

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Applicants' Claimed Invention

The use of catalysts in hydrocarbon conversion with an addition hydrogenation activity or hydrogenolysis activity that is too high can cause deleterious effects, e.g., loss of valuable compounds and damage to equipment and catalyst. For example, if the catalyst used in a xylenes isomerization/ethylbenzene dealkylation reactions has hydrogenolysis activity that is too high, ethylene formed in the dealkylation of ethylbenzene to ethylene and benzene can be cracked to methane. In addition, the ethylene cracking reaction generates a large amount of heat, which can cause large exotherms inside the reactor, which can lead to damage of the catalyst, equipment, or both. Still further, if catalysts used in aromatics conversion have an addition hydrogenation activity that is too high, the aromatic compounds can undergo aromatic ring saturation, which results in the loss of high value aromatics, e.g., xylenes.

The presently claimed invention, as set forth in Claims 1 through 26 and 50, involves treating a molecular sieve catalyst containing a Group VIIB or Group VIII metal with a catalyst treatment feed of hydrogen (before the catalyst is put on stream for an organic conversion) to reduce the addition hydrogenation activity of the catalyst by at least 10 percent (in comparison to the untreated catalyst) or the hydrogenolysis activity by at least 25 percent (in comparison to the untreated catalyst). As shown in Examples 2 and 3 of the present application, the practice of the Applicants' invention substantially reduces the addition hydrogenation activity of the catalysts.

Applicants believe that the addition hydrogenation activity reduction is due to chemical bonding of hydrogen to the hydrogenation metal atoms. This bonding causes inactivation of at least a portion of the hydrogenation metal atoms. The hydrogen to hydrogenation metal bonding is a slow and difficult process that is dependent upon the hydrogenation metal present in the catalyst, the hydrogen pressure of the treatment, the temperature of the treatment, and the duration of the hydrogen exposure to the hydrogenation metal. Independent Claims 1 and 23 specify an extended hydrogen treatment time (treatment time of at least 4 hours).

Rejection based on U. S. Patent 5,990,365

Claims 1 through 26 and 50 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent 5,990,365 (Chang et al.). This rejection is specifically traversed as the invention, as set forth in presently pending Claims 1 through 26 and 50, is submitted to be patentable over Chang et al.

Chang et al. does not disclose or suggest treating a zeolite catalyst containing a Group VIIB metal or a Group VIII metal with feed that consists essentially of hydrogen. The feed of Chang et al. comprises hydrocarbons, e.g., toluene, and hydrogen with a molar ration of hydrogen to hydrocarbons (e.g., toluene) from 0.1 to 20 (Chang et al. column 8, lines 51-55). Applicants submit that the process conditions of Chang et al. would NOT inherently result in presently pending Claims 1 through 26 and 50 because the feed of Chang would not treating the catalyst with a catalyst treatment feed before the catalyst is put on stream for an organic conversion. Applicants further submit that the process conditions of Chang et al. would NOT render applications' invention obvious because a feed comprising hydrogen and hydrocarbon is very different from pretreating the catalyst before it is put on stream for an organic conversion. The feed of Chang et al. comprises hydrocarbons, e.g., toluene, and hydrogen with a molar ration of hydrogen to hydrocarbons (e.g., toluene) from 0.1 to 20 (Chang et al. column 8, lines 51-55). Further,

Paragraph [0038] teaches that “the hydrogen treatment will usually result in the catalyst having at least 25 percent less hydrogenolysis activity in comparison to the untreated catalyst.” Further, the application details a possible explanation for the effect that is seen. The hydrogen bonds may be necessary to cause the inactivation of at least a portion of the hydrogenation metal atoms.

It is respectfully submitted that Chang et al. does not disclose or suggest presently pending Claims 1-26 and 50. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that presently pending Claims 1 through 26 and 50 are patentable over Chang et al. Favorable action hereon is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge counsel's Deposit Account No. 05-1712, for any fees, including extension of time fees or excess claim fees, required to make this response timely and acceptable to the Office.

Respectfully submitted,

Date March 19, 2008

/Michael S. Kerns/
Michael S. Kerns
Attorney for the Applicants
Registration No. 51,233

ExxonMobil Chemical Company
Law Technology
P. O. Box 2149
Baytown, Texas 77522-2149
Telephone: (281) 834-1441
Facsimile: (281) 834-2495

MSK:clm